

REAL ANALYSIS

TOPIC XII - THE BOLZANO-WEIERSTRAUSS THEOREM

PAUL L. BAILEY

ABSTRACT. The Bolzano-Weierstrauss Theorem has many forms, and we will explore some of them.

Definition 1. Let X be a topological space. Let $A \subset X$ and $p \in X$. We say that p is an *accumulation point* of A if every deleted neighborhood of p intersects A .

Theorem 1. (Bolzano-Weierstrauss over \mathbb{R} , Version 1)

Every bounded infinite subset of \mathbb{R} has an accumulation point in \mathbb{R} .

Proof. Let S be a bounded infinite subset of \mathbb{R} . Let $T = \{x \in \mathbb{R} \mid (-\infty, x) \cap S \text{ is finite}\}$. We wish to show that T has a supremum, and that this supremum is an accumulation point of S .

Claim: The supremum of T exists.

If x_1 is a lower bound for S , then $(\infty, x_1) \cap S = \emptyset$, which is finite, so $x_1 \in T$. Thus T is nonempty. If x_2 is an upper bound for S and $x \geq x_2$, then $(\infty, x) \cap S = (\infty, x_2) \cap S = S$ is infinite, so $x \notin T$. Thus T is bounded above by x_2 . By the Completeness Property of the real numbers, since T is a nonempty set which is bounded above, it has a supremum.

Let $p = \sup T$.

Claim: $(p - \epsilon, p + \epsilon) \cap S$ is an infinite set, for every $\epsilon > 0$.

To see this, suppose not. Then $(p - \epsilon, p + \epsilon) \cap S$ is finite for some $\epsilon > 0$. By definition of p , the set $(-\infty, p - \epsilon) \cap S$ is finite, so the set $(-\infty, p + \epsilon) \cap S = ((-\infty, p - \epsilon) \cup \{p - \epsilon\} \cup (p - \epsilon, p + \epsilon)) \cap S$, being the union of finite sets, is finite. But then $p + \epsilon \in T$, so $p + \epsilon \leq \sup T = p$, contradicting that ϵ is positive. This contradiction shows that $(p - \epsilon, p + \epsilon) \cap S$ is infinite, for all $\epsilon > 0$.

Claim: p is an accumulation point of S

Every neighborhood of p contains an interval of the form $(p - \epsilon, p + \epsilon)$ for some $\epsilon > 0$. We have shown that each such set intersects S . Thus, p is an accumulation point of S . \square

Next, we reformulate the statement of the theorem using sequences. Recall the following definition:

Theorem 2. (Bolzano-Weierstrauss over \mathbb{R} , Version 2)

Every bounded sequence in \mathbb{R} has a convergent subsequence.

Proof. We have seen that every sequence in \mathbb{R} has a monotone subsequence, and that every bounded monotonic sequence in \mathbb{R} converges.

Let (x_n) be a bounded sequence in \mathbb{R} . Then (x_n) has a monotone subsequence, say (x_{n_k}) . Clearly, (x_{n_k}) is also bounded, and thus is a bounded monotonic sequence in \mathbb{R} , which necessarily converges. \square

Theorem 3. (Bolzano-Weierstrauss over \mathbb{R} , Version 3)

Every bounded sequence in \mathbb{R} has a cluster point.

Proof. We have seen that a point is a cluster point of a sequence if and only if it is a subsequential limit.

Let (x_n) be a bounded sequence in \mathbb{R} . By Theorem 2, (x_n) has a convergent subsequence, say (x_{n_k}) . Let $q = \lim_{k \rightarrow \infty} x_{n_k}$. Then q is a cluster point of (x_n) . \square

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BASIS SCOTTSDALE

Email address: paul.bailey@basised.com